

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 for the  
**EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**  
**SOUTHERN DIVISION**

United States of America	)
v.	)
ROXANNE D. MURRELL	) Case No: <u>01-80266-1</u>
Date of Previous Judgment: <u>9/6/01</u>	) USM No: <u>29398-039</u>
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	) Miriam Siefer
	) Defendant's Attorney

**Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of  the defendant  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

DENIED.  GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of \_\_\_\_\_ months is reduced to \_\_\_\_\_.

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE** (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level:	_____	Amended Offense Level:	_____
Criminal History Category:	_____	Criminal History Category:	_____
Previous Guideline Range:	_____ to _____ months	Amended Guideline Range:	_____ to _____ months

**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- Other (explain): \_\_\_\_\_

**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated \_\_\_\_\_ shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: December 17, 2008

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 (if different from order date)

  
 PATRICK J. DUGGAN  
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Printed name and title